with Apologetics 315

1. \	What	is	natural	theology?	(p.171)	k.1846
------	------	----	---------	-----------	---------	--------

2. Mor	notheism affirms that th	nere is only one	and that this Go	od is a	and
		Being Of unlimite	d,	out of nothing	and This being is worthy
	of adoration and	wild dicated tile	, is distinct from the _	_ out of nothing.	but
			of generating		
3. Wha	at can the term <i>proof</i> m	ean? (p.171 k.1852)			
4. Wha	at can the phrase <i>theis</i>	tic proof mean? (p.172	e <i>k.1853)</i>		
5. Wha	at is the difference betv	veen natural theolog	y and revealed theology	1? (p.172 k.1856)	
6. Wha	at are the two categorie	es of theistic argume	nts/proofs? (p.172 k.186	0)	
7. Wha	at is the difference betv	veen <i>a priori</i> and <i>a p</i>	osteriori reasoning? $(ho.$	172 <i>k.1863)</i>	

with Apologetics 315

8. What verse does John Stott note as "one of the principal New Testament passages on the topic of 'general revelation'?" Write the verse below: $(p.173 \mid k.1872)$

9. What is the difference between general revelation and natural theology? $(p.174 \mid k.1879)$

10. Describe the *Biblical omission argument* against natural theology. $(p.174 \mid k.1887)$

11. In the end Pascal's case against natural theology seems to be a ______ argument from ______. $(p.175 \mid k.1896)$



with Apologetics 315

	argument [. on God, its claim tl			
	(pp.175-176 k.1898			
13. Why does the <i>Biblic</i> a	<i>al authority argument</i> agai	inst natural theology f	fail? (p.176 k.1901)	
	sin argument argues that			•
warrant any hope	e of	God's	(p.176 k	z.1906)
15. Why does the <i>noetic</i>	effects of sin argument ag	gainst natural theolog	yy fail? (p.177 k.1913)	
16. What is the <i>direct kn</i>	nowledge of God argument	tagainst natural theol	logy? (p.177 k.1917)	

with Apologetics 315

17. What is the *sensus divinitatus*? $(p.178 \mid k.1925)$

18. Why are theistic arguments not ruled out by an intuitive knowledge of God? $(p.179 \mid k.1930)$

19. What is the *proofs lead to pride argument* against natural theology? (p.179 + k.1938)

20. Give some reasons why theistic proofs do not necessarily lead to pride. $(p.180 \mid k.1948)$

21. Describe the *natural theology in competition with special revelation* argument. $(p.180 \mid k.1951)$

In Defense of Theistic Arguments



[pages 171-184] with Apologetics 315

22a right understanding of	revelation and Because the Bible itself claims that (theology is no threat
	, because the bible itself claims that t	
in	revelation. Further, a sound apologetic r gh means, not m	nethod attempts to verify the
theology. (p.181 k.1959)		
23. What is the <i>religious irreleval</i>	nce agument against natural theology? (p.18	32 <i>k.1969)</i>
	ian tradition has never been regarded as an) but rather as a	
	_ pertaining to its creed. $(p.183 \mid k.1976)$	
25. What is the <i>complexity of pro</i>	ofs argument against natural theology? $(p.m)$	83 <i>k.1978)</i>
26. How does the complexity of n	roofs argument fall short? (p.183 k.1980)	
20. How does the <i>complexity of p</i>	10013 argainent (an Short: (p.103 16.1980)	

 $In \, Defense \, of \, The istic \, Arguments$



[pages 171-184] with Apologetics 315

27. What is the <i>rational weakness argument</i> against natural the	eology? (p.184 k.1988)
28. Who is responsible for the results? $(p.184 \mid k.1996)$	
29. In the end, the proof of the theistic proofs lies in the and, and not in theoretical	about what they can and cannot o
should and should not do. We must simply discover whe and taken together, make belief in God more	
YOUR OWN WORDS	
30. How would you describe the appropriate role of theistic arg Christianity?	uments in one's apologetic for the truth of

